The Use of Solid-Phase Microextraction–Gas Chromatography in Forensic Analysis

Kenneth G. Furton*, Jing Wang, Ya-Li Hsu, John Walton, and José R. Almirall

Department of Chemistry and the International Forensic Research Institute, Florida International University, University Park, Miami, FL 33199

Abstract

A thorough review of the application of solid-phase microextraction (SPME) combined with gas chromatography for the analysis of forensic specimens is presented, including experimental results for several recent applications. The SPME applications covered in this comprehensive review include ignitable liquid residues (also referred to as accelerants), explosive traces, drugs and poisons from biological specimens, and other forensic applications. Recently developed SPME methods are also presented, including the analysis of ignitable liquid residues on human skin, odor signatures, and several drug applications such as free-fraction antipsychotic drug levels, blood alcohol casework, drink-tampering analysis, and gamma-hydroxybutyrate identification without the need for derivatization. SPME is shown to be an inexpensive, rapid, and sensitive method for the analysis of a variety of forensic specimens.

Introduction

Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) has proven to be an important sample preparation technique for the analysis of forensic specimens because of the many advantages that the technique offers when it is applied to these types of samples (1). SPME is often faster than traditional techniques; can be readily automated; and allows for multiple sampling, preservation of the sample, and minimization of the risk of sample contamination caused by the simplicity of the technique. Also, the lower detection limits generally attributed to SPME allow for the confirmation of positive samples that previously went undetected. An additional benefit of SPME is the elimination of solvents, which can save forensic science laboratories money and reduce or eliminate the risk of analysts being exposed to toxic substances. This article reviews the application of SPME for the analysis of forensic specimens including ignitable liquid residues (often referred to as accelerants), explosive traces, drugs, and poisons from biological specimens and other forensic applications. Recently developed

SPME methods are also presented, including novel methods for the analysis of ignitable liquids on human skin, odor signatures, and several drug applications such as free-fraction antipsychotic drug levels, blood alcohol casework, drink-tampering analysis, and gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) identification without the need for derivatization. An overview of the forensic applications of SPME is shown in Figure 1. The major areas that will be discussed are drug analysis for toxicology, bulk drug analysis, trace analysis (including high explosives and ignitable liquids), and sampling from various matrices and a number of SPME sampling modes.

Experimental

Buffer solutions and all standard chemicals used were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Valproic acid and caprylic acid were obtained from Sigma (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). Compressed helium (UHP–zero grade) was purchased from Air Product and Chemicals, Inc. (Allentown, PA). SPME fibers were obtained from Supelco Co. (Bellefonte, PA). Plasma was obtained from the American Red Cross (Miami, FL). A Millipore Centricon YM-30 was purchased from Amicon, Inc.



^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

(Beverly, MA). The 2-mL autosampler vials were purchased from National Scientific Co. (Lawrenceville, GA). A Varian (Varian Associates, Walnut Creek, CA) Star 3400CX gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a Varian 8200CX autosampler and a Varian Saturn 2000 GC–mass spectrometer (MS)–MS were used in this study. The GC–MS used a Supelco Nukol capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm) with a film thickness of 0.25 µm. The GC–flame ionization detector (FID) work-employed a Hewlett Packard (Wilmington, DE) model 5890 series II GC with a DB-5ms, 30-m column (0.25-µm i.d., 0.25-µm film thickness) (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA).

Discussion

Ignitable liquid residues

A coated-wire adsorption technique was being applied to the recovery of ignitable liquid residues the same year SPME was being introduced (2). This early technique involved the heated headspace (70 or 80°C) adsorption of ignitable liquids onto a carbon-coated aluminum or copper wire, followed by *n*-pentane elution with ultrasonic vibration (3). The first report of SPME being applied to the recovery of ignitable liquid residues was in 1994 (4) in which SPME demonstrated improved sensitivity for the recovery of ignitable liquid residues with reduced analysis times and the elimination of toxic solvents when compared with the established activated charcoal strip–solvent elution method (5). The SPME



Figure 2. The headspace SPME–GC–FID of 97% evaporated gasoline. One microliter was applied on kimwipes inside a 1-L can sampled for 15 min (A) and the chromatogram from a hand that was contaminated with gasoline for 5 s then covered by a fire debris bag and performed SPME for 15 min with the first 5 min heating using a heat lamp (B).

analyses of gasoline and kerosene have been compared with headspace, cold-trap, and solvent extraction methods and shown to provide accurate information with less interference peaks (6).

Headspace SPME has also been applied to the analysis of flammable and combustible substances in human body fluids (7,8). A detailed study of the recovery of gasoline residues confirmed the utility of the SPME technique with lack of interference problems in the presence of wood or plastic pyrolysis products and the ability to provide reproducible multiple analyses from a single sample (9). The recovery of ignitable liquids directly from aqueous solvents has also been demonstrated, with SPME proving to be more than one order of magnitude more sensitive than the conventional solvent extraction method for 500-ppb preparations (allowing for positive identification of diesel fuel in aqueous samples) (10). SPME has also been used to identify the presence of gasoline in a real arson-suspected fire debris sample—conventional methods lacked the adequate sensitivity for identification (11).

SPME methods have been optimized for a variety of ignitable liquids and conditions (12,13) and applied to the recovery and identification of ignitable liquids from human skin (14). The SPME method developed for the recovery of ignitable liquid residues from human skin uses 100-µm polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) fibers and gentle heating for 5 min, followed by 10 min of sampling from a plastic bag shrouding the suspected hand. The recovery was found to be dependent on the initial amount, environmental conditions, ignitable liquid type, and time since application (14). Figure 2 shows a chromatogram of gasoline



Figure 3. The GC of accelerants of the second 2 min. Desorption of Carboxen fiber after adsorption for 15 min (A) and after the second 10-s desorption of the Carbowax fiber and adsorption for 15 min (B).

evaporated 97% by SPME (Figure 2A) compared with that obtained from the hand of a subject contaminated with gasoline and sampled by a small plastic bag pierced with a 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID using a 15-min extraction with the first 5 min heated by a heat lamp (Figure 2B). The use of a larger bag or heating throughout the extraction process reduced recoveries. Different fiber chemistries yielded significantly different recoveries and also required significantly different desorption times to prevent carryover. For example, although the use of 75um Carboxen-PDMS fibers increased the recoveries of some of the hydrocarbons when compared with the 100-µm PDMS fiber, desorption times of several minutes were required to prevent carryover (as shown in Figure 3A) as opposed to the 65-µm Carbowax-divinylbenzene (DVB), which showed lower recoveries than the 100-µm PDMS fiber but required less than 10 s for complete desorption (as shown in Figure 3B). A proposed comprehensive SPME scheme for detecting ignitable liquid residues in suspect arson cases used a low-temperature Carboxen-PDMS SPME, followed by an elevated-temperature PDMS step. This demonstrated simplified sample preparation and high recovery efficiency when compared with headspace charcoal adsorption and solvent extraction methods (15). A review of contemporary sample-preparation methods (including SPME) that were used for the detection of ignitable liquids in suspected arson cases was recently published (16).

Explosives analysis

The analysis of semivolatile compounds including nitrobenzene and dinitrotoluenes (DNTs) in water has been reported using a PDMS fiber and GC-FID analysis with detection limits reported at 9-15 ng/mL (17). Headspace and direct aqueous-immersion SPME using PDMS–DVB fibers followed by GC–MS and liquid chromatography (LC)-ultraviolet detection were used to recover 14 explosives with varying results depending on the extracted explosive (18). An optimized headspace SPME-GC-MS method has been published using polyacrylate resin with 30-min adsorptions at 100°C and desorptions at 200°C with reported detection limits of 0.5–10 ng/750 mL headspace for ethylene glycol dinitrate, nitroglycerin, pentaerythrite tetranitrate, trinitrotoluene (TNT), and hexahydrotrinitrotriazine (RDX) (19). Trace explosive signatures have been determined from World War II unexploded undersea ordinances using direct-immersion SPME-GC-MS and SPME-GC-reversal electron attachment detection. This yielded improved extractions over SPE with sensitivities of 10 ppt for DNT and TNT for 15-min extractions using PDMS-DVB fibers (20). Direct-immersion SPME using a Carbowax–DVB fiber with 10 min of sampling followed by a GC-ITMS analysis yielded limits of detection of 10-325 ppt for TNT, RDX, and amino-DNTs in seawater (21). Direct-immersion SPME-GC, SPME-LC, and SPME-capillary zone electrophoresis methods have been optimized, compared, and successfully applied to actual postexplosion debris samples (22,23). Headspace SPME has also been applied to the characterization of odor signatures, including explosive odor signatures (24). The relative effects of major controllable variables for the SPME recovery of explosives and ignitable liquid residues has been reviewed for analyte chemistry, sampling modes (direct, headspace, and partial headspace), fiber chemistry, adsorption times, adsorption temperatures, desorption temperatures, desorption times, and matrix effects (including water content relative to sample container size) (25).

Toxicological analysis

The extraction of poisons from biological specimens such as urine, serum, and blood continues to be a difficult and time-consuming task. Increasingly, traditional liquid–liquid extraction techniques have been improved and replaced with newer methods, such as SPE and supercritical fluid extraction (26). Most recently, SPME has emerged as a promising alternative for the rapid recovery of poisons from biological matrices (12,27). Its recent applications for urine, blood and plasma, and unconventional poisons will be discussed.

Urine

The greatest number of toxicology applications with SPME has involved the recovery of drugs from urine, followed by recovery from blood and serum. SPME-GC has been successfully applied to the recovery of methadone, benzodiazepines, cannabinoids, phencyclidine, methaqualone, amphetamines, and their metabolites in urine, but was not as successful for the recovery of cocaine or opiates and their metabolites at pH 12 using 20-min direct ionimmersion SPME at 40°C with PDMS and polyacrylate fibers (28). A direct-immersion SPME method for the detection of cocaine at a level of 6 ng/0.5 mL in human urine has been reported using a PDMS fiber with 30 min of sampling with added NaF followed by GC-nitrogen-phosphorous detection (NPD) (29). An SPME method has been developed for the determination of benzovlecgonine in urine with hexyl chloroformate derivatization using a 100-µm PDMS fiber for 10 min at 55°C and ion-trap MS detection (30).

The determination of amphetamine, methamphetamine (MA), and dimethamphetamine in urine has been performed by 100-µm PDMS SPME-GC-MS that sampled for 30 min at pH 12.4 with 30% salt added (31). MA and amphetamine were detected at levels of approximately 10 ng/mL using PDMS-DVB fibers to extract the drugs from urine treated with 1 g/mL Na₂CO₃ for 30 min at 65°C followed by GC–NPD analysis (32). Another method for the recovery of MA and amphetamine used 100-um PDMS fibers from urine treated with NaCl (0.5 g/mL) adjusted to pH 12 and extracted for 20 min followed by a NaOH-H₃BO₄ buffer wash prior to GC analysis with reported sensitivity 10-100 times greater than headspace methods, including SPME (33). Amphetamines and inflammable compounds in urine and blood have been analyzed using a 100-µm PDMS fiber immersed in basic solutions for 5 min at 80°C with analysis by GC–MS (34). The automated determination of amphetamines and methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) in urine has been reported using 100-µm PDMS fibers immersed at pH 10 for 16 min followed by analysis by GC-NPD or GC-MS (35).

Although sometimes less sensitive (depending on the volatility of the drug) headspace SPME has the advantage of yielding cleaner extracts from biological samples. A 5-min headspace SPME–GC–chemical ionization-MS procedure with 100-µm PDMS fibers for amphetamines from urine containing potassium carbonate at 80°C was shown to be 20 times more sensitive than the conventional headspace method (36). Another headspace SPME–GC–MS procedure using 100-µm PDMS fibers for 15 min compared with containing NaCl at 75°C was shown to be sensitive enough for a routine confirmation of positive enzyme multiplied immunoassay technique and radioimmunoassay results for amphetamine and its analogs MA, MDMA, and methylenedioxyethylamphetamine (37). A headspace SPME-GC-FID method has also been reported for the analysis of amphetamines in clinical urine samples using 100-µm PDMS fibers for 15 min at 60°C with further method development and derivatization suggested for conclusive differentiation between the various types of amphetamines (38). A screening procedure for 21 amphetaminerelated compounds has been developed using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS with a 10-min extraction at 80°C with salt added (39). Finally, the rapid analysis of amphetamine, MA, methylenedioxyamphetamine, and MDMA has been reported using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS with a 10-min extraction at 100°C with 2 N NaOH followed by direct on-fiber derivatization for 20 min at 60°C using trifluoroacetic anhydride (40).

Methadone has been quantified in urine using a 100-um PDMS fiber for 15 min at pH 7.7 followed by GC–MS quantitation (41). Nicotine and cotinine in urine have been analyzed at 5 and 300 ng/mL, respectively, using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC–MS with a 5-min extraction at 80°C with the addition of potassium carbonate (42). Tricyclic antidepressants in urine have been analyzed at 24-38 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 15-min extraction at 100°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide (43). Meperidine in urine and blood has been analyzed at 20 and 100 ng/mL, respectively, using 100-um PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 30-min extraction at 100°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide and sodium chloride (44). There have recently been several reports of the determination of benzodiazepines and metabolites using direct immersion methods. One method using a 65-µm PDMS–DVB sampling for 30 min followed by GC-FID analysis was applied to the detection of benzodiazepines in urine (45). Another method for determining benzodiazepines in urine used a 65-µm Carbowax–DVB sampling for 60 min at 45°C with salt added followed by GC-FID and GC-MS analysis (46). The analysis of benzophenones for the detection of benzodiazepines in urine has been reported using 100-µm PDMS SPME-GC-electrochemical detection (ECD) with a 30-min extraction at pH 9.4 with the addition of potassium hydroxide (47). The analysis of benzophenone and metabolites in water and human urine has been reported using 65-µm Carbowax–DVB SPME–GC–MS with a 45-min immersion time (48). Barbiturates have been determined in urine using 65-µm Carbowax-DVB SPME-GC-MS with a 20-min immersion time (49).

Nonroutine volatiles including methylene chloride and petroleum products were confirmed in urine and in a gastric sample using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME–GC–MS with a 10min extraction at 60°C with the addition of sodium chloride (50). The absence of an air peak in the GC–MS made possible by the SPME procedure offered a tremendous advantage in the identification of the unknown volatiles, which proved to be crucial evidence in the investigation of traffic fatalities (51). Headspace SPME using 100-µm PDMS fibers for 15 min at 60°C has been used to determine benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) in urine with GC–MS (52). An immersion method using 100-µm PDMS fibers for 5 min with added NaCl has been used to analyze urinary toluene and xylene from workers using organic solvents with GC–FID (53). The analysis of chlorophenols in urine has been accomplished using 85-µm polyacrylate SPME–GC–MS with a 50-min extraction at pH 1 (53). Another method for the analysis of chlorophenols in the urine of subjects exposed to chlorobenzene used 85-µm polyacrylate SPME–GC–MS with a 30-min extraction with salt added (54).

Blood and plasma

The free concentration of valproic acid in human plasma has been determined at 1000 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS directimmersion SPME-GC-FID with a 3-min extraction from a sample previously dialyzed for 25 min and adjusted to pH 2.5 (55). A calibration curve for valproic acid recovered from plasma samples centrifugally purified to remove proteins followed by directimmersion SPME-GC-MS with a 65-µm Carbowax-DVB fiber for 10 min is shown in Figure 4. Caprylic acid (7.5-µg/mL internal standard) was added to plasma solutions containing valproic acid (1.25–12.5 µg/mL) at pH 6.86. Two milliliters of each plasma sample was pipetted into a 2-mL Centricon YM-30 cell and then centrifuged for 30 min in order to obtain a 1-mL filtrate followed by SPME and desorption into the GC inlet for 5 min at 250°C. Figure 4 demonstrates the excellent linearity of this SPME method for quantifying the free-fraction concentration of this drug.

Antidepressant drugs and metabolites in human plasma have been analyzed at 90–200 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-NPD with a 10-min extraction at 22°C with high protein binding appearing to be the limiting mechanism for better extractions (56). Four tricyclic antidepressants in blood have been analyzed at 61–2000 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 60-min extraction at 100°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide (57). The analysis of antidepressants in blood and urine have been analyzed, including an analysis in a fatal intoxication case using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC–MS with a 45-min extraction at 120°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide (58,59). MA and amphetamine in blood have been analyzed at 10 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS with a 5-min extraction at 80°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide (60). Phencyclidine has been recovered from whole blood and urine analyzed at 1.0 ng/mL and 0.25 ng/mL,



respectively, using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME–GC–surface ionization detection with a 30-min extraction at 90°C with the addition of sodium hydroxide and K_2CO_3 (61). Methadone and its metabolites have been recovered from plasma by 100-µm PDMS direct-immersion SPME–GC–MS using a 30-min extraction at pH 7.7 (62). Diphenylmethane in blood and urine has been analyzed by 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME–GC–FID using a 10min extraction at 98°C with 10 N NaOH added (63). Diazepam in human plasma has been determined using a 85-µm polyacrylate direct-immersion SPME–GC–flame photometric detector with a 4-min extraction at pH 5.5 with 1-octanol added (64). The authors applied a method using 100-µm PDMS SPME–GC–MS with 5 min of immersion at 25°C to confirm a suspected drink-tampering case using the equivalent of 10 tablets containing 2 mg of diazepam each added to a whiskey bottle (shown in Figure 5).

Steroids have been analyzed in human serum using 85- μ m polyacrylate direct-immersion SPME–GC–MS with a 30-min extraction followed by headspace derivatization with *N*,*O*-bis[trimethylsily]] trifluoroacetamide (65,66). Caffeine metabolites have been recovered from blood and urine by 65- μ m Carbowax–DVB SPME–GC–NPD using a 60-min extraction at 40°C with acid added (67). SPME has been successfully applied to the detection of ethanol in human body fluids, including urine and blood at 10 and 20 μ g/mL, respectively, using 65- μ m



Figure 5. SPME analysis of a forensic case sample taken from a 1.75-L bottle of 80-proof whiskey suspected of tampering with a poison. SPME analysis using 100-µm PDMS SPME–GC–MS confirmed the equivalent of 26 mg of diazepam or approximately ten 2-mg sedative tablets added to the bottle.



Figure 6. SPME analysis of a blood alcohol casework sample analyzed by 65-μm Carbowax–DVB headspace automated SPME–GC–MS using 3-min headspace adsorption at 25°C and 1-min desorption at 250°C.

Carbowax-DVB headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 15-min extraction at 70°C with the addition of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (68). An automated headspace SPME method for the analysis of blood alcohol concentration using 65-µm Carbowax-DVB headspace SPME-GC-FID with 3-min exposures showed excellent precision and linearity having results in close agreement with the conventional static headspace method (69). An improved method for the recovery of ethanol from blood and urine employed 75-µm Carboxen-PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID using a 15-min extraction at 60°C with the addition of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and sodium dithionite, improving sensitivity by 1–3 orders of magnitude compared with previous methods (70). The analysis of methanol in whole blood has also been reported using headspace SPME-GC-FID with a Carboxen-PDMS fiber and 10-min exposures at 60°C (71). An example of SPME analysis of a blood alcohol casework sample analyzed by 65-µm Carbowax–DVB headspace automated SPME-GC-MS using 3-min headspace adsorption at 25°C is shown in Figure 6.

Unconventional poisons

Although the most common toxicological analysis involves drug extraction and recovery, drugs actually represent only approximately 60% of the more than 1500 poisons that are possible (31). The second largest class of poisons are pesticides (representing approximately 30% of the compounds possible), followed by anions, metals, gases, and volatiles, which comprise a total of less than 10% of the poisons possible (31). Organophosphate pesticides in blood and urine have been analyzed at 5-80 ng/mL and 2-24 ng/mL, respectively, using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-NPD with a 20-min extraction at 100°C and (for blood) the addition of HCl only and (for urine) the addition of HCl, NaCl, and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (72). The common organophosphorous pesticide, malathion, has been detected in blood at 1000 ng/mL using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS with a 5min extraction at 90°C and the addition of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and H_2SO_4 (73). Six carbamate pesticides in blood and urine have been analyzed at 100–500 ng/mL and 10–50 ng/mL, respectively, using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-NPD with a 30-min extraction at 70°C and the addition of NaCl (74). Phenothiazines have been detected using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 40-min extraction at 140°C and added NaCl (75). Dinitroaniline herbicides have been analyzed using 100-µm PDMS SPME-GC-ECD with 30-min extractions at 70°C for water and 90°C for blood (76). The analysis of parathion in blood has also been reported using headspace SPME-GC-MS (77). The natural insecticide, nereistoxin, has been analyzed using 65-µm PDMS-DVB headspace SPME-GC-MS with a 30-min extraction at 70°C and added NaCl (78).

A headspace SPME–GC–MS method has been developed for the analysis of toluene, xylenes, and hydrocarbons at 100–1000 ng/mL in human blood and applied to the medico-legal autopsy of a fire victim with kerosene substances detected (79). The analysis of thinner components in whole blood and urine at 1 ng/mL has been performed using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME–GC–FID with a 5-min extraction at 80°C (80). Cresol and phenols have been detected using 85-µm polyacrylate headspace SPME–GC–FID with a 30-min extraction at 100°C and NaCl added (81). Benzene and toluene in human blood have also been monitored

using 65-µm Carboxen–PDMS headspace SPME–GC–MS with a 30-min extraction at 20°C (82). Headspace sampling of BTEX in the blood and urine of cyclists exposed to air pollutants employed 75-µm Carboxen–PDMS SPME–GC–MS with a 30-min extraction at 50°C (83). Tetrachloroethylene and trichloroethylene have been analyzed in serum tissue and urine in a fatality using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME–GC–ECD with a 1-min extraction at 60°C (84).

Local anesthetics have been analyzed at 58-830 ng/mL using 100-um PDMS headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 40-min extraction at 100°C from human blood deproteinized with perchloric acid and sodium hydroxide and added $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (85). The recovery of local anesthetics from human blood has also been accomplished with a direct immersion using 100-µm PDMS SPME-GC-FID with a 40-min extraction (86). Another recent method for the recovery of local anesthetics employed 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS using selected-ion monitoring (SIM) with a 45-min extraction at 120°C and added NaOH (87). The analysis of the highly toxic methylmercury in aqueous and tissue samples has been reported using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS) and simultaneous extraction-derivatization with sodium tetraethylborate at 25°C and pH 4.5 with an acetate buffer (88). The simultaneous determination of mercury, alkylmercury, lead, and tin in human body fluids was accomplished using 100-µm PDMS headspace SPME-GC-MS-MS and derivatization with sodium tetraethylborate for 10 min at pH 5.3 (89). Methylmercury in biological samples has also been reported using headspace SPME-GC-atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) and hydride derivatization (90). Cvanide in blood has been analyzed using 65-um Carbowax-DVB headspace SPME-GC-NPD with a 45-min extraction at 50°C and Na₂SO₄ added (91). Lead in blood and urine has been analyzed below 10 ppb using 65-µm PDMS-DVB headspace SPME-GC-FID with a 15-min extraction-derivatization time with sodium tetraethylborate at 25°C and pH 4.0 with an acetate buffer (92).

Miscellaneous applications

A modified SPME device has been developed that is capable of detecting down to 5.8 nmol/L ethanol, 1.8 nmol/L acetone, and 0.3 nmol/L isoprene in human breath using a 65-µm PDMS– DVB fiber and 1 min of sampling followed by GC–MS analysis (93). SPME has been shown to be a useful tool to determine phospholipid–water-partition coefficients and free-concentration



Figure 7. A headspace SPME–GC–MS chromatogram of cocaine volatiles extracted by 50/30-µm DVB–Carboxen on PDMS on a 2-cm fiber for 15 min at 20°C with 1-min desorption time at 250°C.

(bioavailable) in vitro systems, which may make experimental data more meaningful for quantitative in vivo extrapolations (94). SPME has been used to determine residual organic solvents in pharmaceutical samples with an optimized method using 65-µm PDMS-DVB with 30-min headspace extraction and NaCl added (95). A method for profiling confiscated MDMA and amphetamine uses 65-µm PDMS-DVB headspace SPME-GC-NPD with a 30min extraction at 90°C and a pH level of 5.0 with an acetate buffer (96). SPME has also been used to characterize street narcotic odors. The room-temperature recoveries of cocaine odor chemicals (including methyl benzoate) required optimized headspace extraction times of up to 12 h with the optimum fiber found to be 65-µm Carbowax–DVB (97,98). SPME has played an important role confirming the chemicals responsible for drug-detector dog alerts of suspected drug money having an SPME-GC-MS odor signature profile similar to street cocaine (shown in Figure 7), which was extracted using a 50/30-um DVB-Carboxen on PDMS with a 2-cm fiber for 15 min at 20°C and a 1-min desorption time at 250°C. Methyl benzoate has been confirmed as one of the dominant odor chemicals used by detector dogs and has been identified as a cocaine breakdown product and a dominant nonsolvent odor chemical in street cocaine samples (making up over 10% of the volatiles in the example specimen in Figure 7). Although it has been established that most U.S. currency in circulation is contaminated with milligram-quantities of cocaine, the corresponding odor chemical (methyl benzoate) levels are shown to be well below the threshold levels of drug-detector dogs (98).

A method for determining cannabinoids in water and saliva used 75-µm Carboxen–PDMS direct-immersion SPME–GC–MS with a 10-min extraction (99). SPME has also been applied to the analysis of cannabinoids and amphetamines in hair (100,101) and used to characterize items such as cinnamon, vodka, coffee, and tobacco (102–105), which could prove to be useful investigative information. Recently, a fast and simple SPME method has been developed for the identification of GHB in aqueous samples (106). The direct injection of a GHB extraction into a GC port under



Figure 8. GC–EIMS of GHB (A) yielding an inconclusive identification of this drug compared to the SPME–GC–CIMS (B) providing improved fragmentation and a molecular ion +1 peak.

normal temperature (280°C) conditions followed by electron ionization in the MS resulting in the thermally induced intramolecular esterification that forms the butyrolactone (the EI spectra can be found in Figure 8A) prevents the positive identification of the drug. A 15-min 100- μ m PDMS SPME headspace extraction at 60°C followed by a low-temperature (100°C) desorption into the injector of a GC outfitted with a short (2 m) DB-1 column and a positive chemical ionization using methanol as the reagent gas yields good fragmentation and a confirmatory molecular ion +1 peak (the CI spectra can be found in Figure 8B).

Overall, most reported SPME methods have proven to be superior to existing extraction methods with overall improved recoveries translating to lower detection limits with shorter analysis times, minimal sample handling, and the significant reduction or elimination of organic solvents. Future developments of SPME for forensic applications will likely include further improvements in automation and field sampling and analyses such as fast GC methods. Field-portable SPME–GC methods could dramatically improve law enforcement response times by providing rapid onsite confirmation of drugs, accelerants, and explosives. Obstacles to a more widespread acceptance in the forensic science community include the need for additional optimization and the establishment of standard methods (i.e., ASTM) for analyses using SPME.

Acknowledgments

Partial financial support from Supelco Inc. is gratefully acknowledged. Helpful discussions with Ray Mindrup and Robert Shirey (Supelco) and Terry L. Sheehan (Varian Associates) are also greatly appreciated.

References

- 1. R.F. Mindrup. Solid phase microextraction simplifies preparation of forensic, pharmaceutical, and food and beverage samples. *Chem. New Zealand* **3:** 21–23 (1995).
- C.L. Arthur and J. Pawliszyn. Solid phase microextraction with thermal desorption using fused silica optical fibers. *Anal. Chem.* 62: 2145–48 (1990).
- 3. D.J. Tranthim-Fryer. The application of a simple and inexpensive modified carbon wire adsorption/solvent extraction technique to the analysis of accelerants and volatile organic compounds in arson debris. *J. Forensic Sci.* **35:** 271–280 (1990).
- 4. J.R. Almirall, K.G. Furton, and J.C. Bruna. A novel method for the analysis of gasoline from fire debris using headspace solid-phase microextraction. Presented at the Southern Association of Forensic Scientists Fall 1994 Meeting, Orlando, FL, September, 1994.
- K.G. Furton, J.R. Almirall, and J. Bruna. A simple, inexpensive, rapid, sensitive and solventless method for the recovery of accelerants from fire debris based on SPME. *J. High. Res. Chromatogr.* 18: 625–29 (1995).
- 6. T. Kaneko and M. Nakada. Forensic application of the solid phase microextraction method to the analysis of gasoline and kerosene. In *Reports of the National Research Institute of Police Science: Research on Forensic Science.* **48**: 107–111 (1995).
- Y. Iwasaki, M. Yashiki, N. Nagasawa, T. Miyazaki, and T. Kojima. Analysis of inflammable substances in blood using head space-solid

phase microextraction and chemical ionization ion monitoring. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(3):** 189–194 (1995).

- X.P. Lee, T. Kumazawa, and K. Sato. A simple analysis of 5 thinner components in human body fluids by headspace solid-phase microextraction (SPME). *Int. J. Legal Med.* **107**: 310–313 (1995).
- 9. K.G. Furton, J.R. Almirall, and J. Bruna. A novel method for the analysis of gasoline from fire debris using headspace solid-phase microextraction. *J. Forensic Sci.* **41:** 12–22 (1996).
- J.R. Almirall, J. Bruna, and K.G. Furton. The recovery of accelerants in aqueous samples from fire debris using solid phase microextraction (SPME). *Sci. Justice* 36: 283–287 (1996).
- Steffen and J. Pawliszyn. Determination of liquid accelerants in arson suspected fire debris using headspace solid-phase microextraction. *Anal. Commun.* 33: 129–131 (1996).
- J.R. Almirall and K.G. Furton. *Solid Phase Microextraction: A Practical Guide*. S.A. Wercinski, Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, 1999, pp 203–216.
- K.G. Furton, J.R. Almirall, and J. Wang. In *Investigation and Forensic Science Technologies*. K. Higgins, Proc. SPIE, 1999, Vol. 3576, pp 136–41.
- J.R. Almirall, J. Wang, K. Lothridge, and K.G. Furton. The detection and analysis of ignitable liquid residues extracted from human skin using SPME/GC. J. Forensic Sci. 45(2): 461–69 (2000).
- Q. Ren and W. Bertsch. A comprehensive sample preparation scheme for accelerants in suspect arson cases. J. Forensic Sci. 44(3): 504–515 (1999).
- W. Bertsch and Q. Ren. Contemporary sample preparation methods for the detection of ignitable liquids in suspect arson cases. *Forensic Sci. Rev.* 11: 141–56 (1999).
- J.Y. Horng and S.D. Huang. Determination of the semi-volatile compounds nitrobenzene, isophorone, 2,4-dinitrotoluene and 2,6dinitrotoluene in water using solid-phase microextraction with a polydimethylsiloxane-coated fiber. J. Chromatogr. 678: 313–18 (1994).
- M. Bi. The potential of solid phase microextraction (SPME) for the recovery of explosives followed by gas and liquid chromatography. Thesis, Florida International University, Miami, FL, 1998.
- K.P. Kirkbride, G. Klass, and P.E. Pigou. Application of solid-phase microextraction to the recovery of organic explosives. *J. Forensic Sci.* 43(1): 76–81 (1998).
- M.R. Darrach, A. Chutjian, and G.A. Plett. Trace explosives signatures from World War II unexploded undersea ordnance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32: 1354–58 (1998).
- S.A. Barshick and W.H. Griest. Trace analysis of explosives in seawater using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography/ion trap mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **70**: 3015–20 (1998).
- J.R. Almirall, L. Wu, G. Bi, M.W. Shannon, and K.G. Furton. In Investigation and Forensic Science Technologies, K. Higgins, Proc. SPIE, 1999, Vol. 3576, pp 18–23.
- K.G. Furton, L. Wu, and J.R. Almirall. Optimization of solid phase microextraction (SPME) for the recovery of explosives from aqueous and post-explosion debris followed by gas and liquid chromatographic analysis. *J. Forensic Sci.* 45(4): 845–52 (2000).
- K.G. Furton and L.J. Myers. The scientific foundations and efficacy of the use of canines as chemical detectors for explosives. *Talanta*, in press.
- 25. K.G. Furton, J.R. Almirall, M. Bi, J. Wang, and L. Wu. The application of solid-phase microextraction to the recovery of explosives and ignitable liquids residues from forensic specimens. *J. Chromatogr.*, in press.
- K.G. Furton and J. Rein. Trends in techniques for the extraction of drugs and pesticides from biological specimens prior to chromatographic separation and detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 236: 99–114 (1990).
- L. Junting, C. Peng, and O. Suzuki. Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) of drugs and poisons from biological samples. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 97: 93–100 (1989).
- 28. K. Singer, B. Wenz, V. Seefeld, and U. Speer, Determination of drugs of abuse using solid-phase microextraction. *Labor-Med.* (German)

18(2):112–118 (1995).

- 29. T. Kumazawa, K. Watanabe, K. Sato, H. Seno, A. Ishii, and O. Suzuki. Detection of cocaine in human urine by solid-phase microextraction and capillary gas chromatography with nitrogen-phosphorus detection. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(3)**: 207–210 (1995).
- B.J. Hall, A.R. Parikh, and J.S. Brodbelt. Aqueous phase hexyl chloroformate derivatization and SPME determination of benzoylecgonine in urine by GC-Quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometry. *J. Forensic Sci.* 44(3): 527–34 (1999).
- S.W. Myung, H.K. Min, S. Kim, M. Kim, J.B. Cho, and T. J. Kim. Determination of amphetamine, methamphetamine and dimethamphetamine in human urine by solid-phase microextraction (SPME)-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr.* 716: 359–65 (1998).
- A. Ishii, H. Seno, T. Kumazawa, M. Nishikawa, K. Watanabe, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Simple clean-up of methamphetamine and amphetamine in human urine by direct-immersion solid phase micro extraction (DI-SPME). *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* 14(3): 2228–32 (1996).
- 33. K. Ameno, C. Fuke, S. Ameno, H. Kinoshita, and I. Ijiri. Application of a solid-phase microextraction technique for the detection of urinary methamphetamine and amphetamine by gas chromatography. *Can. Soc. Forensic Sci. J.* **29(2):** 43–48 (1996).
- M.Yashiki, T. Kojima, and T. Miyazaki. Detection of amphetamines and inflammable compounds in biological materials using GC/MS and SPME. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **12(2)**: 120–21(1994).
- H. Ugland, M. Kogh, and K. Rasmussen. Automated determination of ecstasy and amphetamines in urine by SPME and capillary GC after polylchloroformate derivatization. *J. Pharmaceut. Biomed.* 19: 463–75 (1999).
- M. Yashiki, T. Kojima, T. Miyazaki, N. Nagasawa, Y. Iwasaki, and K. Hara. Detection of amphetamines in urine using headspace-solid phase microextraction and chemical ionization selected ion monitoring, *Forensic Sci. Int.* **76**: 169–77 (1995).
- F. Centini, A. Masti, and I.B. Comparini. Quantitative and qualitative analysis of MDMA, MDEA, MA, and amphetamine in urine by headspace/solid phase micro-extraction (SPME) and GC/MS. *Forensic. Sci. Int.* 83: 161–66 (1996).
- H. Lord and J. Pawliszyn. Method optimization for the analysis of amphetamines in urine by solid-phase microextraction. *Anal. Chem.* 69(19): 3899–3906 (1997).
- C. Battu, P. Marquet, A. Fauconnet, E. Lacassie, and G. Lachâtre. Screening procedure for 21 amphetamine-related compounds in urine using solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 36: 1–7 (1998).
- C. Jurado, M. Giménez, T. Soriano, M. Menédez, and M. Repetto. Rapid analysis of amphetamine, methamphetamine, MDA, and MDMA in urine using solid-phase microextraction, direct on-fiber derivatization, and analysis by GC–MS. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* 24: 11–16 (2000).
- M. Chiarotti and R. Marsili. Gas chromatographic analysis of methadone in urine samples after solid phase microextraction. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* 6: 577–81 (1994).
- M. Yashiki, N. Nagasawa, T. Kojima, T. Miyazaki, and Y. Iwasaki. Rapid analysis of nicotine and cotinine in urine using headspacesolid phase microextraction and selected ion monitoring. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(1)**: 1724 (1995).
- T. Kumazawa, X.P. Lee, M.C. Tsai, H. Seno, A. Ishi, and K. Sato. Simple extraction of tricyclic antidepressants in human urine by headspace solid-phase microextraction (SPME). *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(1):** 25–300 (1995).
- 44. H. Seno, T. Kumazawa, A. Ishii, M. Nishikawa, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Detection of meperidine (pethidine) in human blood and urine by headspace solid phase microextraction and gas chromatography. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(3)**: 211–15 (1995).
- H. Seno, T. Kumazawa, A. Ishii, K. Watanbe, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Detection of benzodiazepines in human urine by direct immersion SPME-GC. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **15(1)**: 16–20 (1997).

- Y. Luo, L. Pan, and J. Pawliszyn. Determination of five benzodiazepines in aqueous solution and biological fluids using SPME with carbowax/DVB fiber coating. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **10(2)**: 193–201 (1998).
- F. Guan, H. Seno, A. Ishii, K. Watanabe, T. Kumazawa, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Solid-phase microextraction and GC–ECD of benzophenones for detection of benzodiazepines in urine. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* 23(1): 54–61 (1999).
- 48. T. Felix, B. Hall, and J. Brodbelt. Determination benzophenone-3 and metabolites in water and human urine by SPME and quadrupole ion trap GC-MS. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **371**: 195–203 (1998).
- 49. B. Hall and J. Brodbelt. Determination of barbiturates by SPME and ion trap GC-MS. J. Chromatogr. A 777: 275–82 (1997).
- W.E. Brewer, R.C. Galipo, S.L. Morgan, and K.H. Habben. The confirmation of volatiles by solid-phase microextraction and GC-MS in the investigation of two traffic fatalities. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* 21: 286–90 (1997).
- S. Fustinoni, R. Giampiccolo, S. Pulvirenti, M. Buratti, and A. Colombi. Headspace solid-phase micro-extraction for the determination of BETX in urine. *J. Chromatogr. B* 723(1–2): 105–115 (1999).
- 52. F. Asakawa, F. Jitsunari, J. Choi, S. Suna, N. Takeda, and T. Kitamado. Method for analyzing urinary toluene and xylene by SPME and its application to workers using organic solvents. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 62(2): 109–116 (1999).
- M. Lee, Y. Yeh, W. Hsiang, and C. Chen. Application of SPME and GC-MS for the determination of chlorophenols in urine. *J. Chromatogr. B* **707(1-2):** 91–97 (1998).
- M. Guidotti, G. Ravaioli, and M. Vitali. Total 4-chlorophenol determination in urine samples of subjects exposed to chlorobenzene using SPME and GC-MS. J. High. Res. Chromatogr 22(7): 427–28 (1999).
- 55. M. Krogh, K. Johansen, F. Tonnesen, and K.E. Rasmussen. Solidphase microextraction for the determination of the free concentration of valproic acid in human plasma by capillary gas chromatography. J. Chromatogr. B 673: 299–305 (1995).
- S. Ulrich and J. Martens. Solid-phase microextraction with capillary gas-liquid chromatography and nitrogen-phosphorus selective detection for the assay of antidepressant drugs in human plasma. *J. Chromatogr. B* 696: 217–234 (1997).
- X.P. Lee, T. Kumazawa, K. Sato, and O. Suzuki. Detection of tricyclic antidepressants in whole blood by headspace solid-phase microextraction and capillary gas chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 35(7): 302–308 (1997).
- T. Watanabe, A. Namera, M. Yashiki, Y. Iwasaki, and T. Kojima. Analysis of tricyclic antidepressant and that application blood and urine for fatal intoxication by headspace SPME. *Jpn. J. Legal. Med.* 52: 69 (1998).
- 59. A. Namera, T. Watanabe, M. Yashiki, Y. Iwasaki, and T. Kojima. Simple analysis of tetracyclic antidepressants in blood using headspace-solid-phase microextraction and GC–MS. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* **22(5):** 396–400 (1998).
- N. Nagasawa, M. Yashiki, Y. Iwasaki, K. Hara, and T. Kojima. Rapid analysis of amphetamines in blood using headspace-solid phase microextraction and selected ion monitoring. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 78: 95–102 (1996).
- A. Ishii, T. Kumazawa, K. Watanabe, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Simple extraction of phencyclidine from human body fluids by headspace SPME. *Chromatographia* 43(5-6): 331–33 (1996).
- A.M. Bermejo, R. Seara, A.C. dos Santos Lucas, M.J. Tabernero, P. Fernández, and R. Marsili. Use of SPME for the determination of methadone and its main metabolite, EDDP, in plasma by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. J. Anal. Toxicol. 24: 66–69 (2000).
- M. Nishikawa, H. Seno, A. Ishii, O. Suzuki, T. Kumazawa, K. Watanabe, and H. Hattori. Simple analysis of diphenylmethane antihistaminics and their analogous in bodily fluids by headspace solid-phase microextraction–capillary gas chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 35: 275–79 (1997).

- M. Krough, H. Grefslie, and K. Rasmussen. Solvent-modified solidphase microextraction for the determination of diazepam in human plasma samples by capillary gas chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. B* 689: 357–64 (1997).
- P. Okeyo, S. Rentz, and N. Snow. Analysis of steroids from human serum by SPME with headspace derivatization and gas chromatography. J. High Res. Chromatog. 20: 171–73 (1997).
- P. Okeyo and N. Snow. Analysis of estrogens and anabolic steroids by SPME with on-fiber derivatization and GC–MS. J. Microcolumn Sep. 10(7): 551–56 (1998).
- T. Kumazawa, H. Seno, X. Lee, and A. Ishii. Extraction of methylxanthines from human body fluids by SPME. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 387(1): 53–60 (1999).
- T. Kumazawa, H.Seno, X.P Lee, A. Ishii, O. Suzuki, and K. Sato. Detection of ethanol in human body fluids by headspace solidphase micro extraction (SPME)/capillary gas chromatography. *Chromatographia* **43(7-8)**: 393–97 (1996).
- Z. Penton. Blood alcohol determination with automated solid phase microextraction (SPME): a comparison with static headspace sampling. *Can. Soc. Forensic Sci. J.* **30(1)**: 7–12 (1997).
- Z. Lee, T. Kumazawa, K. Sato, H. Seno, A. Ishii, and O. Suzuki. Improved extraction of ethanol from human body fluids by headspace SPME with a Carboxen/PDMS fiber. *Chromatographia* 47(9–10): 593–95 (1998).
- X. Lee, T. Kumazawa, T. Jurosawa, K. Akiya, Y. Akiya, S. Fruta, and K. Sato. Simple extraction of methanol in human whole blood by headspace SPME. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **16**(1): 64–68 (1998).
- 72. X.P Lee, T. Kumazawa, K. Sato, and O. Suzuki. Detection of organophosphorous pesticides in human body fluids by solid-phase microextraction (SPME) and capillary gas chromatography with nitrogen-phosphorus detection. *Chromatographia* 42(3-4): 135–40 (1996).
- A. Namera, M. Yashiki, N. Nagasawa, Y. Iwasaki, and T. Kojima. Rapid analysis of malathion in blood using headspace solid-phase microextraction and selected ion monitoring. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 88: 125–31 (1997).
- 74. H. Seno, T. Kumazawa, A. Ishii, M. Nishika, K. Watanabe, H. Hattori, and O. Suzuki. Determination of some carbamate pesticides in human body fluids by headspace solid phase microextraction and gas chromatography. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **14(3)**: 199–203 (1996).
- H. Seno, T. Kumazawa, A. Ishii, H. Hattori, M. Nishikawa, K. Watanabe, and O. Suzuki. Detection of some phenothiazines by headspace SPME and GC. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **14:** 30 (1996).
- F. Prosen, K. Watanabe, A. Ishii, H. Seno, and O. Suzuki. SPME and GC-ECD determination of dinitroaniline herbicides in surface water and biological fluids. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **15(2)**: 151–53 (1997).
- 77. F. Musshoff, H. Junker, and B. Madea. Rapid analysis of parathion in biological samples using headspace SPME and GC–MS. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* **37(6):** 639–42 (1999).
- A. Namera, T. Watanabe, M. Yashiki, T. Kojima, and T. Urabe. Simple and sensitive analysis of nereistoxin and its metabolites in human serum using headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 37(3): 77–82 (1999).
- 79. Y. Iwasaki, M. Yashiki, N. Nagasawa, T. Miyazaki, and T. Kojima. Analysis of inflammable substances in blood using head space-solid phase microextraction and chemical ionization ion monitoring. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **13(3):** 189–94 (1995).
- X.P. Lee, T. Kumazawa, and K. Sato. A simple analysis of 5 thinner components in human body fluids by headspace solid-phase microextraction (SPME). *Int. J. Legal Med.* **107**: 310–13 (1995).
- X. Lee, T. Kumazawa, S. Furuta, T. Kurosawa, K. Akiya, I. Skiya, and K. Sato. Detection of cresol isomers and phenol in human whole blood by headspace SPME and capillary GC. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **15(1):** 21–28 (1996).
- E. Schimmiing, K. Levsen, C. Koehme, and W. Schuermann. Biomonitoring of benzene and toluene in human blood by headspace SPME. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* 363(1): 88–91 (1999).

- R. Andreoli, P. Manini, E. Bergamaschi, A. Brustolin, and A. Mutti. SPME and GC-MS for determination of monoaromatic hydrocarbons in blood and urine. Application to people exposed to air pollutants. *Chromatographia* 50: 167–72 (1999).
- B. Dehon, L. Humbert, L. Devisme, M. Stievenart, D. Mathieu, N. Houdret, and M. Lhermitte. Tetrachloroethylene and trichloroethylene fatality: case report and simple headspace SPME-capillary gas chromatographic determination in tissues. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* 24(1): 22–26 (2000).
- T. Kumazawa, X. P Lee, K. Sato, H. Seno, A.Ishii, and O. Suzuki. Detection of ten local anaesthetics in human blood using solidphase microextraction (SPME) and capillary gas chromatography. *Jpn. J. Forensic. Toxicol.* **13(3):** 182–88 (1995).
- T. Kumazawa, K. Sato, H. Seno, A. Ishii, and O. Suzuki. Extraction of local anesthetics from human blood by direct immersion-SPME. *Chromatographia* 43: 59–62 (1996).
- T. Watanabe, A. Namera, M. Yaskiki, Y. Iwasaki, and T. Kojima. Simple analysis of local anaesthetics in human blood using headspace SPME and GC–MS-electron impact ionization selected ion monitoring. J. Chromatogr. B 709: 225–32 (1998).
- Y. Cai, S. Monsalud, K.G.Furton, R. Jaffe, and R.D. Jones. Determination of methylmercury in fish and aqueous samples using solid-phase microextraction followed by gas chromatographyatomic fluorescence spectrometry. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 12: 565–69 (1998).
- 89. L. Dunemann, H. Hajimiragha, and J. Begerow. Simultaneous determination of Hg(III) and alkylated Hg, Pb, and Sn species in human body fluids using SPME–GC–MS–MS. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **363(5-6):** 466–68 (1999).
- B. He, G. Jiang, and Z. Ni. Determination of methylmercury in biological samples and sediments by capillary GC coupled with atomic adsorption after hydride derivatization and SPME. *J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom.* **13(10):** 1141–44 (1998).
- K. Takekawa, M. Oya, A. Kido, and O. Suzuki. Analysis of cyanide in blood by headspace SPME and capillary GC. *Chromatographia* 47(3-4): 209–214 (1998).
- X. Yu, H. Yuan, T. Gorecki, and J. Pawliszyn. Determination of lead in blood and urine by SPME–GC. *Anal. Chem.* **71(15)**: 2998–3002 (1999).
- 93. C. Grote and J. Pawliszyn. Solid-phase microextraction for the analysis of human breath. *Anal. Chem.* **69**: 587–96 (1997).
- 94. W.H.J. Vaes, E.U. Ramos, C. Hamwijk, I.V. Holsteijn, B.J. Blaauboer, W. Seinen, H.J.M. Verhaar, and J.L.M. Hermens. Solid phase microextraction as a tool to determine membrane/water partition coefficients and bioavailable concentrations in vitro systems. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **10(10)**: 1067–1072 (1997).
- C. Camarasu, M. Mezei, and A. Szabo. Headspace SPME method optimization for residual solvent analysis. *Acta Pharm. Hung.* 69(2): 77–84 (1999).
- K. Kongshaug, S. Pedersen-Bjergard, M. Krogh, and K. Rasmussen. SPME/Capillary GC for the profiling of confiscated ecstasy and amphetamine. *Chromatographia* 50(3-4): 247–52 (1999).
- T. Luo. SPME/GC signature analysis of cocaine impurities and correlation to drug dog detection. Thesis, Florida International University, Miami, FL, 1998.
- K. Furton, Y. Hsu, T. Luo, A. Norelus, and S. Rose. In *Investigative and Forensic Science Technologies*. K. Higgins Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng., 1999, Vol. 3576, pp 41–46.
- 99. B. Hall, M. Satterfield-Doeerr, A. Parikh, and J. Brodbelt. Determination of cannabinoids in water and human saliva by SPME and quadrupole ion trap GC–MS. *Anal. Chem.* **70(9):** 1788–96 (1998).
- S. Yamamoto and H. Kataoka. Determination of amphetamine and methamphetamine in human hair by headspace SPME and GC– NPD. J. Chromatogr. B 707: 99–104 (1998).
- S. Strano-Rossi and M. Chiarotti. Solid-phase microextraction for cannabinoids analysis in hair and its possible application to other drugs. J. Anal. Toxicol. 23(1): 7–10 (1999).
- 102. K. Miller, C. Poole, and T. Pawlowski. Classification of the botanical

origin of cinnamon by SPME-GC. *Chromatographia* **42:** 639–46 (1996).

- L. Ng, M. Hupe, J. Harnois, and D. Moccia. Characterization of commercial vodkas by SPME–GC–MS. J. Sci. Food Agric. 70: 380–388 (1996).
- C. Bicchi, O., Panero, G. Pellegrino, and A. Vanni. Characterization of roasted coffee and coffee beverages by SPME–GC and principal component analysis. J. Agric. Food Chem. 45: 4680–4686 (1997).
- 105. J. Clark and J. Bunch. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of flavor additives on tobacco products using SPME–GC–MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. **45:** 844–49 (1997).
- 106. J.R. Almirall and A.D. Garcia. Identification of gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) by headspace SPME followed by chemical ionization-mass spectrometry. Submitted for publication in *J. High Res. Chromatogr.*

Manuscript accepted May 24, 2000.